arXiv:1208.1608v1 [hep-th] 8 Aug 2012

Classical Dimensional Transmutation and Renormalization in Massive $\lambda\phi^4$ Model

Hiroshi Yoda[a] and Shin'ichi Nojiri[a,b]
[a] *Department of Physics, Nagoya University, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan*
[b] *Kobayashi-Maskawa Institute for the Origin of Particles and the Universe, Nagoya University, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan*

Recently Dvali, Gomez, and Mukhanov has investigated a classical $\lambda\phi^4$ model with external source and without mass and they have clarified that there are underlying renormalization group structure, including the phenomenon of the dimensional transmutation, at purely classical level. Especially when the coupling λ is negative, the classical beta function shows the property of asymptotic freedom as in QCD. In this paper, we investigate the $\lambda\phi^4$ model with mass, and clarify the role of the mass. The obtained classical beta function is identical with that of the massless $\lambda\phi^4$ model up to the corrections of the ratio of the IR cutoff to UV cutoff, and describe the renormalization flow same as the massless theory. We also found that the dynamically generated scale of massive theory is larger than that of massless theory, which could be due to the screening effect of the mass term.

PACS numbers: 11.10.-z, 11.10.Hi

I. INTRODUCTION

In [1], by investigating a classical $\lambda\phi^4$ model with external source, it has been clarified that there are renormalization group structure, including the phenomenon of the dimensional transmutation, at purely classical level. Especially when the coupling λ is negative, the classical beta function shows the property of asymptotic freedom as in QCD. In the paper [1], massless $\lambda\phi^4$ model has been investigated. In this paper, we investigate the $\lambda\phi^4$ model with mass, and clarify the role of the mass. The obtained beta function is identical with that of the massless $\lambda\phi^4$ model in [1] up to the corrections of $1/\Delta$. Here Δ is the ratio of the IR cutoff to UV cutoff. We also, perturbatively, found that the dynamically generated scale of massive theory is larger than that of massless theory, which could be due to the screening effect of the mass term. Furthermore, we see the same effect and the renormalization flow in non-perturbative analyses by introducing the effective mass.

II. PERTURBATIVE ANALYSES

We start with the following Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\phi\partial^\mu\phi - \frac{1}{2}m_0^2\phi^2 - \frac{\lambda_0}{4}\phi^4 \,. \tag{1}$$

We also add a source term:

$$J\delta^{(3)}(\boldsymbol{x}) = 4\pi Q\phi\delta^{(3)}(\boldsymbol{x})\,, \tag{2}$$

and consider spherically symmetric solution of the classical equation of motion with an external source of charge Q:

$$\frac{1}{r^2}\frac{d}{dr}\left(r^2\frac{d\phi}{dr}\right) - m_0^2\phi - \lambda_0\phi^3 = -4\pi Q\delta^{(3)}(\boldsymbol{x})\,. \tag{3}$$

We expect that the mass term could screen the charge Q because of opposite sign of the negative ϕ^4 interaction.

We assume the solution has a form of the damping solution as in the Yukawa potential:

$$\phi(r) = \frac{Q\tilde{f}(r,m_0)}{r}\ \mathrm{e}^{-m_0 r}\,. \tag{4}$$

Then the differential equation can be rewritten in the form of the integral equation:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{f}(r,m_0) =& 1 + \alpha_0\int_{r_0}^{r}dr'\mathrm{e}^{2m_0r'}\int_{r'}^{\infty}dr''\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-4m_0r''}\tilde{f}^3(r'',m_0)}{r''^2} - \tilde{N}(\alpha_0,m_0) \qquad (5)\\ =& 1 + \alpha_0\left\{\int_{r_0}^{r}dr'\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-4m_0r'}(e^{2m_0r'} - \mathrm{e}^{2m_0r})}{2m_0r'^2}\tilde{f}^3(r',m_0)\right.\end{aligned}$$

$$+\left(\mathrm{e}^{2m_0 r}-\mathrm{e}^{2m_0 r_0}\right)\int_{r_0}^{\infty}dr'\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-4m_0 r'}}{2m_0 r'^2}\tilde{f}^3(r',m_0)\Bigg\}-\tilde{N}(\alpha_0,m_0)\,. \tag{6}$$

Here, we have introduced an ultraviolet cutoff r_0 and α is defined by $\alpha_0 := -\lambda_0 Q^2 > 0$. We should note that In the limit of $m_0 \to 0$, Eq. (5) reproduces the expression of massless $\lambda\phi^4$ model in [1] up to the constant term:

$$\tilde{N}(\alpha_0, m_0 = 0) = N(\alpha_0) - \alpha_0 r_0 \int_{r_0}^{\infty} dr' \frac{1}{r'^2}\tilde{f}^3(r', m_0 = 0)\,. \tag{7}$$

The $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_0)$ part of the solution for (5) is explicitly given by

$$\tilde{f}(r, m_0) = 1 + \alpha_0(2\mathrm{e}^{2m_0 r}\,\mathrm{Ei}(-4m_0 r) - \mathrm{Ei}(-2m_0 r)) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_0^2)\,, \tag{8}$$

with

$$\tilde{N}(\alpha_0, m_0) = \alpha_0(2\mathrm{e}^{2m_0 r_0}\,\mathrm{Ei}(-4m_0 r_0) - \mathrm{Ei}(-2m_0 r_0)) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_0^2)\,. \tag{9}$$

Here the exponential integral $\mathrm{Ei}(x)$ is defined by

$$\mathrm{Ei}(x) := -\int_{-x}^{\infty} dt \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-t}}{t}\,. \tag{10}$$

Unfortunately, we cannot obtain analytic form of the $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_0^2)$ part of the solution by using Eq. (6) because we cannot integrate the equation analytically. Therefore, we now consider the expansion by the mass assuming $m_0 \ll 1$. Although the original equation (6) is infrared finite, by the expansion with respect the mass m_0, there appears the infrared divergence and therefore we need to introduce *infrared cutoff* R as given by

$$\left.\frac{d\tilde{f}}{dr}\right|_R = -\alpha_0 \mathrm{e}^{2m_0 R}\int_R^{\infty} dr'' \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-4m_0 r''}\tilde{f}^3(r'', m_0)}{r''^2} = 0\,, \tag{11}$$

which leads to the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{f}(r, m_0, R) =& 1 + \alpha_0 \Bigg\{\int_{r_0}^{r} dr' \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-4m_0 r'}(\mathrm{e}^{2m_0 r'} - \mathrm{e}^{2m_0 r})}{2m_0 r'^2}\tilde{f}^3(r', m_0, R)\\ &+(\mathrm{e}^{2m_0 r} - \mathrm{e}^{2m_0 r_0})\int_{r_0}^{R} dr' \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-4m_0 r'}}{2m_0 r'^2}\tilde{f}^3(r', m_0, R)\Bigg\} - \tilde{N}(\alpha_0, m_0, R)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Furthermore we impose the renormalization condition for the IR cutoff in a same way as in case of the massless $\lambda\phi^4$ model in [1]:

$$\tilde{f}(R, m_0, R) = 1 - \tilde{N}(\alpha_0, m_0, R)\,. \tag{13}$$

Then Eq. (12) gives

$$\int_{r_0}^{R} dr' \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-4m_0 r'}(\mathrm{e}^{2m_0 r'} - \mathrm{e}^{2m_0 r_0})}{2m_0 r'^2}\tilde{f}^3(r', m_0, R) = 0\,, \tag{14}$$

and we obtain

$$\tilde{f}(r, m_0, R) = 1 + \alpha_0 \int_{r}^{R} dr' \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-4m_0 r'}(\mathrm{e}^{2m_0 r} - \mathrm{e}^{2m_0 r'})}{2m_0 r'^2}\tilde{f}^3(r', m_0, R) - \tilde{N}(\alpha_0, m_0, R)\,. \tag{15}$$

We now use new variable t and new parameter Δ by $t := r/r_0$ and $\Delta := R/r_0$. Furthermore we choose $R = 1/m_0$. Then the expression (15) reduces to

$$\tilde{f}(t, \Delta) = 1 + \Delta\alpha_0 \int_{t}^{\Delta} dt' \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-4t'/\Delta}\left(\mathrm{e}^{2t/\Delta} - \mathrm{e}^{2t'/\Delta}\right)}{2t'^2}\tilde{f}^3(t', \Delta) - \tilde{N}(\alpha_0, \Delta)\,. \tag{16}$$

As in case of Eq. (5), we cannot solve (16) analytically. Since Δ is the ratio of IR cutoff to UV cutoff, Δ should be large. So we expand the integrand in (5) by the power of $1/\Delta$, and neglect the $\mathcal{O}\left((1/\Delta)^2\right)$ terms. Therefore we find

$$\tilde{f}(x,\Delta) = 1 + \alpha_0 \int_{\ln\Delta}^{x} dx' \left\{1 - \mathrm{e}^{x-x'} + \frac{1}{\Delta}\mathrm{e}^{x}\left(4 - \mathrm{e}^{x-x'} - 3\mathrm{e}^{-(x-x')}\right)\right\} \tilde{f}^3(x',\Delta) - \tilde{N}(\alpha_0,\Delta)\,. \tag{17}$$

Now we have used a new variable x defined by $x := \ln t = \ln r/r_0$. We further change the variable by $x \to y := x - \ln\Delta = \ln(m_0 r)$ and find the following expression:

$$\tilde{f}(y) = 1 + \alpha_0 \int_{0}^{y} dy' \left\{1 - \mathrm{e}^{y-y'} + \mathrm{e}^{y}\left(4 - \mathrm{e}^{y-y'} - 3\mathrm{e}^{-(y-y')}\right)\right\} \tilde{f}^3(y') - \tilde{N}(\alpha_0)\,. \tag{18}$$

The recursive solution of (18) is given by

$$\tilde{f}(y) = 1 + \alpha_0\left(y - (3-4y)\mathrm{e}^{y} - \mathrm{e}^{2y}\right) + \alpha_0^2\left(3y + \frac{3}{2}y^2 + 15(5+y)\mathrm{e}^{y} + \left(66 - 39y + 6y^2\right)\mathrm{e}^{2y}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_0^3)\,, \tag{19}$$

namely,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{f}(r) =& 1 + \alpha_0\left[\ln(m_0 r) - m_0 r(3 - 4\ln(m_0 r)) - (m_0 r)^2\right]\\ &+ \alpha_0^2\left[3\ln(m_0 r) + \frac{3}{2}\ln^2(m_0 r) + 15 m_0 r(5 + \ln(m_0 r)) + (m_0 r)^2\left(66 - 39\ln(m_0 r) + 6\ln^2(m_0 r)\right)\right] + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_0^3)\,,\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

with

$$\tilde{N}(\alpha_0) = 4\alpha_0 - 9\alpha_0^2 + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_0^3)\,. \tag{21}$$

In (19), if we have neglect e^{y} terms, which lead to identify y with x. The obtained equation is identical with that in case of massless $\lambda\phi^4$ model in [1] although the expression of (21) is different.

We now define an effective coupling constant $\alpha_{\text{eff}}(y)$ by $\alpha_{\text{eff}}(y) := \alpha_0 \tilde{f}^2(y)$. Then by using (19), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_{\text{eff}}(y) =& \alpha_0\left\{1 + 2\alpha\left[(y - \mathrm{e}^{y}(3-4y) - \mathrm{e}^{2y}\right]\right.\\ &\left. + \alpha_0^2\left[6y + 4y^2 + 2\left(75 + 12y + 4y^2\right)\mathrm{e}^{y} - \left(123 - 52y - 4y^2\right)\mathrm{e}^{2y} + (6-8y)\mathrm{e}^{3y} + \mathrm{e}^{4y}\right] + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_0^3)\right\} \qquad (22)\\ =:& \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_0^{n+1}\tilde{g}_n(y)\,, \qquad (23)\end{aligned}$$

thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_{\text{eff}}(r) =& \alpha_0\left\{1 + 2\alpha\left[(\ln(m_0 r) - m_0 r(3 - 4\ln(m_0 r)) - (m_0 r)^2\right]\right.\\ &+ \alpha_0^2\left[6\ln(m_0 r) + 4\ln^2(m_0 r) + 2m_0 r\left(75 + 12\ln(m_0 r) + 4\ln^2(m_0 r)\right)\right.\\ &\left.\left. - (m_0 r)^2\left(123 - 52\ln(m_0 r) - 4\ln^2(m_0 r)\right) + (m_0 r)^3(6 - 8\ln(m_0 r)) + (m_0 r)^4\right] + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_0^3)\right\}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

If we choose $\alpha_0 = \alpha_{\text{eff}}(r_0)$ and fix Δ,that is the ratio of IR cutoff to UV cutoff, $\tilde{f}(y)$ only depends on r_0 logarithmically. So, we are able to define *classical* beta function as in a way similar to [1],

$$\beta := \frac{\alpha_{\text{eff}}}{dx} = \frac{\alpha_{\text{eff}}}{dy} = \alpha_{\text{eff}}^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \tilde{g}'_k(-\ln\Delta)\alpha_{\text{eff}}^k\,. \tag{25}$$

In (25), we have put $y = -\ln\Delta$, that is, $x = y + \ln\Delta = 0$. By neglecting $\mathcal{O}\left((1/\Delta)^2\right)$ terms, we obtain

$$\beta(\alpha) = \left[2 + \frac{2}{\Delta} + \frac{8}{\Delta}\ln\left(\frac{1}{\Delta}\right)\right]\alpha^2 + \left[6 + \frac{174}{\Delta} + 8\left(1 + \frac{5}{\Delta} + \frac{1}{\Delta}\ln\left(\frac{1}{\Delta}\right)\right)\ln\left(\frac{1}{\Delta}\right)\right]\alpha^3 + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^4)\,. \tag{26}$$

We should note, now, $\alpha := \alpha_{\text{eff}}$.

In (26), numerical coefficients of each powers of α is equal to the case of the massless theory [*1], but we cannot take the massless limit, $\Delta \to \infty$, because of logarithmic diverges of $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^3)$ terms.

We check the *1-loop* part, that is, $\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^2\right)$ part of the classical beta function (26),

$$\beta(\alpha) = \frac{d\alpha}{dx} = 2\kappa\alpha^2 \,. \tag{27}$$

Here

$$\kappa(1/\Delta) := 1 + \frac{1}{\Delta} + \frac{4}{\Delta}\ln\left(\frac{1}{\Delta}\right) \,, \tag{28}$$

and we should note

$$0 < \kappa < 1 \tag{29}$$

for $\Delta > 10$. We now assume Δ does not depend on r_0 and solve (27). Then, we obtain *dynamically generated scale* $\tilde{R}_c$ in a same way similar to the case of the massless $\lambda\phi^4$ model in [1],

$$\tilde{R}_c = r_0 \mathrm{e}^{-1/2\kappa\lambda_0 Q^2} > R_c \,. \tag{30}$$

R_c is the dynamically generated scale in massless $\lambda\phi^4$ model [1]. Namely, the dynamically generated scale of massive theory is larger than that of massless theory. This result could be consistent with screening effect of the mass term.

Obviously, the physical running coupling constant $\lambda(r)$ is given by

$$\lambda(r) = \frac{1}{2Q^2 \ln(\tilde{R}_c/r)} \,. \tag{31}$$

We found that the dynamically generated scale of massive theory is larger than that of massless theory, which could be due to the screening effect of the mass term.

III. NON-PERTURBATIVE ANALYSES

We find the *classical* beta function (25) as in a way similar to [1]. So, we can obtain the differential equation of the classical beta function from the equation of motion (3) and (25), as follows:

$$\left(1 + \frac{2\mathrm{e}^x}{\Delta}\right)\beta(\alpha) = 2\exp\left[-\frac{2\mathrm{e}^x}{\Delta}\right]\alpha^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{d\beta(\alpha)^2}{d\alpha} - \frac{\beta(\alpha)^2}{\alpha}\right) \,. \tag{32}$$

We should note that (32) coincides with the equation of the massless theory in the massless limit. We now consider to solve Eq. (32) in a non-perturbative way.

If we choose that x could be independent of α, the solution of (32) is given by

$$\beta(\bar{\alpha}) = \left(1 + \frac{2\mathrm{e}^x}{\Delta}\right)^3 \exp\left[\frac{2\mathrm{e}^x}{\Delta}\right]\left(2\bar{\alpha}^2 + 6\bar{\alpha}^3 + 48\bar{\alpha}^4 + 570\bar{\alpha}^5 + \cdots\right) \,. \tag{33}$$

Here, $\bar{\alpha}$ is defined by

$$\bar{\alpha} := \frac{\exp\left[-\frac{2\mathrm{e}^x}{\Delta}\right]}{\left(1 + \frac{2\mathrm{e}^x}{\Delta}\right)^2}\alpha = \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-2m_0 r}}{\left(1 + 2m_0 r\right)^2}\alpha \,. \tag{34}$$

In (33), the numerical coefficients of each powers of $\bar{\alpha}$ are equal to those in case of the massless model. This situation is not changed from (26) but the Δ dependence in the beta function in (33) is different from that in (26). Since $\bar{\alpha} < \alpha$, this could be interpreted as the screening effect due to the mass term.

[*1] We have checked the terms to $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^8)$.

In order to investigate the renormalization flow of the massive theory we now remove the explicit scale dependence from the expression in (32). For this purpose, we introduce the new variable $w(x)$ corresponding to scale and rewrote (32) as follows,

$$\left(1+\frac{2\mathrm{e}^x}{\Delta}\right)\tilde{\beta}=\frac{2\exp\left[-\frac{2\mathrm{e}^x}{\Delta}\right]}{w'}\alpha^2+\frac{w'}{2}\left(\frac{d\tilde{\beta}^2}{d\alpha}-\frac{\tilde{\beta}^2}{\alpha}+\frac{1}{(w')^2}\frac{d(w')^2}{d\alpha}\tilde{\beta}^2\right)\,. \tag{35}$$

Here we have defined as $w':=\frac{dw}{dx}$ and $\tilde{\beta}:=\frac{d\alpha}{dw}$. We now require

$$\frac{2\mathrm{e}^x}{\Delta}=\frac{1}{2w'}\frac{d(w')^2}{d\alpha}\tilde{\beta}=\frac{dw'}{dw} \tag{36}$$

on (35), that is,

$$w(x)=\mathrm{Ei}(2\mathrm{e}^x/\Delta)-(\gamma+\log(2/\Delta))=\mathrm{Ei}(2m_0r)-(\gamma+\log(2m_0r_0))\,. \tag{37}$$

Here we have chosen one of integration constants so that we have $w(x)=x$ in the massless limit. Another constant can be arbitrary because it is identified with the constant factor of $\tilde{\beta}$. By using (37), we find

$$w'(x)=\exp\left[\frac{2\mathrm{e}^x}{\Delta}\right]\,, \tag{38}$$

and we obtain

$$\tilde{\beta}=\frac{2}{(w')^2}\alpha^2+\frac{w'}{2}\left(\frac{d\tilde{\beta}^2}{d\alpha}-\frac{\tilde{\beta}^2}{\alpha}\right)\,. \tag{39}$$

We now define the screened coupling constant as

$$\tilde{\alpha}:=\frac{\alpha}{w'}=\exp\left[-\frac{2\mathrm{e}^x}{\Delta}\right]\alpha\,. \tag{40}$$

Then we obtain

$$\tilde{\beta}(\tilde{\alpha})=2\tilde{\alpha}^2+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{d\tilde{\beta}(\tilde{\alpha})^2}{d\tilde{\alpha}}-\frac{\tilde{\beta}(\tilde{\alpha})^2}{\tilde{\alpha}}\right)-\frac{dw'}{dw}\frac{d\tilde{\beta}(\tilde{\alpha})}{d\tilde{\alpha}}\tilde{\alpha}\,. \tag{41}$$

Eq. (41) is identical with the equation corresponding to the the case of massless model up to the last term which depends on w explicitly. For remove this w dependence, we introduce the *effective mass* as $p^\mu p_\mu\phi=m_{\mathrm{eff}}^2\phi$, namely,

$$m_{\mathrm{eff}}^2=m_0^2+\lambda_0\phi^2=m_0^2-\frac{\alpha\mathrm{e}^{-2m_0r}}{r^2}=m_0^2\left\{1-4\tilde{\alpha}\left(\frac{dw'}{dw}\right)^{-2}\right\}\,. \tag{42}$$

Then by using (41), we obtain

$$\tilde{\beta}(\tilde{\alpha})=2\tilde{\alpha}^2+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{d\tilde{\beta}(\tilde{\alpha})^2}{d\tilde{\alpha}}-\frac{\tilde{\beta}(\tilde{\alpha})^2}{\tilde{\alpha}}\right)-2\sqrt{\frac{m_0^2}{m_0^2-m_{\mathrm{eff}}^2}}\frac{d\tilde{\beta}(\tilde{\alpha})}{d\tilde{\alpha}}\tilde{\alpha}^{\frac{3}{2}}\,. \tag{43}$$

We regard m_{eff} as the screened physical mass by taking account for the $\lambda\phi^4$ interaction. Therefore when we like to investigate the renormalization flow of the coupling constant α, we need to solve (43) on fixed m_{eff}^2.

Although the expression of (43) is completely different from that in case of the massless model, surprisingly, for fixed m_{eff}^2, the numerical solutions of (43) have the behavior identical with that in the massless model even if we choose the value of $\sqrt{m_0^2/\left(m_0^2-m_{\mathrm{eff}}^2\right)}$ large or small. Furthermore, in order that $\sqrt{m_0^2/\left(m_0^2-m_{\mathrm{eff}}^2\right)}$ in Eq. (43) could be real, the value of m_{eff}^2 is restricted to be $-\infty<m_{\mathrm{eff}}^2<m_0^2$ but there should exist the limit of $m_{\mathrm{eff}}^2\to+\infty$ and the solutions would be realized for arbitrary m_{eff}^2. For these reason, we can take the limit $m_0\to\infty$, namely, $\sqrt{m_0^2/\left(m_0^2-m_{\mathrm{eff}}^2\right)}\to 1$, and we can check that the behavior also identical with that in the massless model in this limit.

IV. SUMMARY

In this paper we generalized the approach of Ref. [1] for massive theory and have investigated the structure of the classical renormalization group of the $\lambda\phi^4$ model with mass, as in case of massless $\lambda\phi^4$ model in [1]. We find the classical beta function perturbatively as in (26) by solving the recursive equation (5) by choosing the renormalization condition as in [1] and identifying the infrared cutoff R with the inverse of the bare mass m_0, $R = 1/m_0$. The obtained beta function is identical with that of the massless $\lambda\phi^4$ model in [1] up to the corrections of $1/\Delta$ which is the ratio of the IR cutoff to UV cutoff and these corrections can be interpreted as the screening effects due to the mass term. Since the numerical coefficients of each powers of α is equal to the case of the massless theory, we expect that the perturbative classical beta function (26) could describe the correct behavior of the classical renormalization flow. However, because of the divergence in the massless limit $\Delta \to \infty$, this perturbative beta function would be unreliable.

Therefore, we have analyzed the classical beta function non-perturbatively by introducing the effective mass and using Eq. (43). This equation has a structure similar to that of the equation in the massless theory, and coincides with the equation in the massless theory in the massless limit. We should note, however, that Eq. (43) is not the equation for $\beta(\alpha)$ but that for the scaled beta function and the screened coupling constant $\tilde{\beta}(\tilde{\alpha})$. Since these scalings are monotonic, however, the solution of this equation describes the renormalization flow of original variables. So, we solved Eq. (43) on a fixed effective mass, and we obtained the behavior identical with that in the massless model numerically.

Consequently, we conclude that the classical analysis of the structure in the renormalization group for $\lambda\phi^4$ model such as that in Ref. [1] is even available in the massive theory, and verify the screening effects of the mass term.

Finally, we comment on two subjects. First, we discussed the overall structure of the renormalization group of $\lambda\phi^4$ model for both of negative and positive coupling constant. We obtained the equation of classical beta function in positive coupling theory, as in the model of Ref. [1], by the replacement $-\alpha$ with α in Eq. (43). However, this equation would not describe the structure of the renormalization group of the positive coupling theory, because if we consider the limit of $m_0^2 \to -\infty$, as in the case of the negative theory, we should replace $-\alpha$ with α again, since the range of the values of m_{eff}^2 is restricted to be $m_0^2 < m_{\text{eff}}^2 < \infty$. Nevertheless, we can obtain the equation of the positive coupling theory only when m_{eff}^2 goes to infinity simultaneously with $m_0^2 \to -\infty$, because in this situation $m_{\text{eff}}^2 = m_0^2$, namely $\sqrt{m_0^2/(m_0^2 - m_{\text{eff}}^2)} \to \infty$, and we can regard the equation as $d\tilde{\beta}/d\tilde{\alpha} = 0$, namely $\beta = 0$. Therefor, it would be suggested that the positive $\lambda\phi^4$ theory is trivial. Second, it would be interesting now to consider further generalization of the model to curved space-time where the effective mass term $\xi R\phi^2$ appears. This may be considered in analogy with renormalization group approach in curved space (for review, see [2]).

Acknowledgments.

The authors are grateful to S. D. Odintsov for discussions. S.N. is also indebted to G. Dvali and S. Mukhanov for drawing his attention to the subject discussed in this paper. S.N. and H.Y. are supported in part by Global COE Program of Nagoya University (G07) provided by the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science & Technology and S.N. is also by the JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (S) # 22224003 and (C) # 23540296.

---

[1] G. Dvali, C. Gomez and S. Mukhanov, JHEP **1112** (2011) 103 [arXiv:1107.0870 [hep-th]].
[2] S. D. Odintsov, Fortsch. Phys. **39** (1991) 621.